

Biological Forum – An International Journal

13(4): 779-783(2021)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1130 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3239

# Variability and Character Association in M<sub>3</sub> generation of Urdbean [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]

Harshit Chaturvedi<sup>1</sup>, Sumer Singh Punia<sup>1</sup>, D. K. Gothwal<sup>1</sup>, Varsha Kumari<sup>1</sup>, A. C. Shivran<sup>2</sup>, G. K. Mittal<sup>3</sup>, Khajan Singh<sup>1</sup>, Shyam Singh Rajput<sup>1</sup> and J. Souframanien<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner, Jaipur, India.
 <sup>2</sup>Department of Agronomy, Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner, Jaipur, India.
 <sup>3</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner, Jaipur, India.
 <sup>4</sup>Nuclear Agriculture and Bio Technology Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre Trombay, Mumbai, India.

(Corresponding author: Varsha Kumari\*) (Received 15 September 2021, Accepted 10 November, 2021) (Published by Research Trend, Website: www.researchtrend.net)

ABSTRACT: Urdbean is an important pulse crop produced in all seasons but due to its cleistogamous nature creation of variability through artificial hybridization is difficult so mutation breeding occurs as the cleanest approach to induce desirable changes in the crop. Present study was undertaken to study genetic variability and character association in M<sub>3</sub> generation of Urdbean and to find out promising mutant lines for yield and protein content. Gamma rays irradiated 72 mutants from parent varieties PU 1 and CO 6 were evaluated in kharif, 2019 in Augmented design in four blocks along with five check varieties including parental varieties viz., PU 1, CO 6, MU 2, TPU-4, KU 96-3 in Research farm, Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner, Jaipur. Analysis of variance depicted sufficient genetic variability for most of the characters seed yield per plant and pods per plant. GCV and PCV was highest for seed yield per plant. Most of the characters exhibited positive and significant correlation with seed yield per plant. Among the 72 mutants, five M<sub>3</sub> treatments were found to be best i.e. T2-19, T1-6, T1-1, T2-14 and T6-67 on the basis of seed yield per plant.

Keywords: Urdbean, Mutation, Variability, Character Association.

# INTRODUCTION

Urdbean is an important annual pulse crop (2n=2x=22) which is native to Central Asia and belongs to family Leguminosae also known as black gram, urd or mash. It is rich in protein (25-28%) and also helps in improving soil fertility (Rao *et al.*, 2021). The productivity of this crop is less due to narrow genetic diversity which hinders it in achieving raised yield levels. Influence of any character depends upon the extent of genetic variability present in a breeding population. Major research concern for urdbean in India involves development of high yielding, early maturing and MYMV resistance.

Creation of variability through pollination and artificial hybridization is very difficult in this crop as the flowers are cleistogamous and delicate to handle. But, artificial induction of variability by mutation breeding can be effectively utilized to generate new variability and it has been recognized as a valuable supplement to conventional breeding in crop improvement (Singh *et al.*, 2000; Deepalakshmi and Anandakumar, 2004; Wani and Khan, 2006 and Selvam *et al.*, 2010).

Induced mutagenesis is an important tool in the hands of plant breeder to create variation in breeding material of a particular crop. A mutation is a sudden heritable change in the DNA in a living cell, not caused by genetic segregation or genetic recombination. Mutation induction coupled with selection remains the cleanest and most inexpensive way to create varieties by changing single characters without affecting the overall phenotype. When desired variation is available in different cultivars of a crop, its breeding objectives may be achieved through crossbreeding. Therefore, the present study was undertaken on urdbean mutants to study genetic variability, character association in  $M_3$ generation of urdbean and to identify promising mutants.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experimental material consisted of 72 mutant lines of urdbean variety Pratap Urd 1 and CO 6 collected from BRNS project, ARS, Kota, Agriculture University, Kota. The progenies employed in the present investigation were derived from three dose of physical mutagen (gamma rays) *viz.*, 200 Gy, 400 Gy and 600 Gy. The experiment was conducted at the experimental farm, Department of Plant Breeding & Genetics, Sri Karan Narendra College of Agriculture, Jobner (SKNAU, Jobner-Jaipur). It consists 72 M<sub>2</sub> lines and check varieties *viz.*, PU1, CO6, MU 2, TPU 4, KU 96-3 evaluated in Augmented Design during *kharif*, 2019.

Chaturvedi et al.,

Each genotype was sown in a plot of single row of 4meter length. Row to row and plant to plant distance was maintained as 30 and 10 cm, respectively. All the agronomical practices were followed to raise a good and healthy crop. Observations were recorded for twelve quantitative traits viz., days to 50 per cent flowering, days to maturity, plant height, branches per plant, clusters per plant, pods per cluster, pods per plant, pod length, seeds per pod, 100 seed weight, seed yield per plant and protein content. Data were recorded on randomly selected five plants from each mutant line per replication and mean value was used for analysis. Data on days to 50 per cent flowering, days to maturity and 100-seed weight were however recorded on whole plot basis. The mean data were subjected to analysis of variance as per the method suggested by (Federer, calculated statistical 1956) using software. INDOSTAT. GCV and PCV values calculated by Burton (1952) and Johnson et al. (1955), heritability by Hanson et al. (1956), genetic advance by Johnson et al. (1955) and protein analysis by Micro-Kjaldahl method.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### A. Genetic variability studies

The analysis of variance revealed significant difference among the genotypes, indicating the presence of genetic variability for almost all the traits studied and further possibility of exercising selection (Table 1). Presence of narrow gap between phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) but greater values of PCV for all the characters studied as in agreement with Gill et al. (2017) indicates that there is role of limited influence of environment in expression of these traits (Table 2). High values of GCV and PCV were visible for seed yield per plant and pods per plant indicates the presence of high genetic variability present in the population for the traits and direct selection will be effective for these traits. Whereas, moderate GCV and PCV values were observed for plant height (cm), branches per plant, seeds per pod, pods per cluster and cluster per plant. Low GCV and PCV were recorded in pod length, 100seed weight and protein content. The findings were in consonance with Thamodharan et al. (2017), Priya et al. (2018), Usharani and Kumar (2016), Meshram et al. (2013), Mahesha and Lal (2017), Gill et al. (2017), Meshram et al. (2013), Kuralarasan et al., (2017), Asari et al., (2019), Senthamizhselvi et al., (2019), Ramya et al., (2018), Partap et al. (2019), Pavan et al., (2019) and Ankur et al., (2019). Heritability (in broad sense) was high for all the characters (>60%) indicating that characters were least influenced by environment and selection of such characters may be useful. High heritability (in broad sense) interfused with high genetic advance was observed in seed yield per plant, pods per plant, seeds per pod, plant height, clusters per plant, pods per cluster and branches per plant. The above estimates offer the most favorable situations for further selection. It also indicates that the presence of additive gene action in the traits and further suggest reliable improvement in urdbean through selection for such traits.

 Table 1: Analysis of variances in respect of different quantitative characters observed in M<sub>3</sub> progenies of urdbean.

Characters	D.F.	Plant height (cm)	Branches per	Pods per Plant	Pod length	100-seed weight (g)	Seeds per	Seed yield per plant	Pods per cluster	Clusters per	Protein (%)
			Plant		(cm)		pod	(g)		plant	
Block	3	127.42**	0.03	01.78	0.01	0.22	0.10	0.07	0.05	0.05	00.29
Treatment	76	33.47*	0.33**	07.46**	0.14**	0.39*	1.31**	0.80**	0.23**	0.45**	03.78**
Check	4	71.05*	0.17**	05.68*	0.14**	1.09**	0.87*	0.86**	0.17**	0.28*	00.70*
Progenies	71	31.25	0.34**	07.55**	0.14**	0.36*	1.35**	0.79**	0.24**	0.46**	03.88**
Families	5	27.19	0.74**	20.98**	0.67**	0.45*	2.86**	5.36**	0.58**	1.86**	30.43**
Prog/Fam 1	11	15.19	0.33**	07.06**	0.06**	0.16	0.65*	0.65**	0.19**	0.45**	03.43**
Prog/Fam 2	11	16.08	0.33**	04.87**	0.08**	0.17	0.46	1.12**	0.12*	0.24*	01.22**
Prog/Fam 3	11	07.93	0.09**	03.29*	0.10**	0.31	0.77*	0.35**	0.15**	0.34**	01.34**
Prog/Fam 4	11	07.90	0.22**	04.02*	0.12**	0.33	0.40	0.25**	0.23**	0.32*	01.42**
Prog/Fam 5	11	17.29	0.38**	08.10**	0.11**	0.56*	4.29**	0.57**	0.18**	0.54**	03.22**
Prog/Fam 6	11	03.87	0.42**	07.14**	0.06**	0.12	0.59*	0.34**	0.16**	0.38**	03.13**
C v/s G	1	40.70	0.01	07.95*	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.91**	0.06	0.16	09.09**
Error	12	13.62	0.02	01.10	0.01	0.14	0.20	0.06	0.03	0.08	00.21

Table 2: Different variability parameters for different quantitative characters in M<sub>3</sub> generation of urdbean.

Sr. No.	Characters	Mean	Range	GCV	PCV	h <sup>2</sup> (bs)	GA	GA %
1.	Plant height (cm)	27.28	15.4-39.7	15.39	20.49	56.42	6.50	23.81
2.	Branches per plant	3.02	1.8-4.8	18.78	19.38	93.88	1.13	37.49
3.	Clusters per plant	3.09	1.3-4.53	20.08	22.02	83.19	1.17	37.73
4.	Pods per cluster	2.84	2.0-4.0	16.25	17.18	89.47	0.90	31.67
5.	Pods per plant	8.59	2.6-17	29.55	31.97	85.46	4.84	56.27
6.	Pod length (cm)	4.19	3.22-4.88	8.49	8.91	90.79	0.70	16.66
7.	Seeds per pod	4.60	2.74-11.28	23.27	25.24	85.03	2.04	44.21
8.	100-seed weight (g)	4.84	3.14-6.52	9.54	12.36	59.60	0.74	15.17
9.	Seed yield per plant	1.93	0.35-5.24	44.20	46.05	92.11	1.69	87.38
10.	Protein %	22.99	19.22-28.60	8.33	8.57	94.51	3.84	16.69

GCV = Genetic coefficients of variation; GA = Genetic advance; PCV = Phenotypic coefficients of variation; GA% = Genetic advance as % mean; h<sup>2</sup><sub>(bs)</sub> = Heritability (broad sense)

Chaturvedi et al., Biological Forum – An International Journal 13(4): 779-783(2021)

High heritability (in broad sense) coupled with moderate genetic advance was observed for pod length, 100-seed weight showing medium heritability with moderate genetic advance, and protein content was in agreement with Asari *et al.*, (2019).

## B. Association analysis

Selection cannot be relied on single character because most of the characters are polygenic in nature and they having the effects on each other. Correlation gives knowledge about magnitude and direction of association between two characters. It has been observed that phenotypic correlation coefficient was higher than their genotypic correlation coefficient counterparts in most of the characters (Table 3 and 4). This implies that the genetic causes affect the values of correlation. Most of the characters studied *viz.*, plant height, branches per plant, clusters per plant, pods per cluster, pods per plant, pod length, seeds per pod and 100 seed weight were positively significant with seed yield per plant indicates that the selection based on these characters may result in high seed yield, which was in accordance with Sathees *et al.* (2019). Partap *et al.* (2019). On the other hand, the trait protein content is not significant with seed yield per plant which was in agreement with Asari *et al.* (2019).

 Table 3: Correlation coefficients between different characters in M3 generation of urdbean at phenotypic level.

	Plant height (cm)	Branches per plant	Cluster per plant	Pod per cluster	Pods per plant	Pod length (cm)	Seed per pod	100 Seed Weight (g)	Protein content	Seed yield per plant (g)
Plant height (cm)	1	0.221 <sup>NS</sup>	0.413**	0.291*	0.403**	0.553**	0.351**	$0.480^{**}$	$0.549^{**}$	0.622**
Branches per plant	0.221 <sup>NS</sup>	1	0.631**	0.670**	$0.767^{**}$	0.001 <sup>NS</sup>	0.225 <sup>NS</sup>	0.019 <sup>NS</sup>	- 0.071 <sup>NS</sup>	0.604**
Clusters per plant	0.413**	0.631**	1	0.511**	0.864**	0.211 <sup>NS</sup>	0.165 <sup>NS</sup>	0.143 <sup>NS</sup>	$0.077^{NS}$	$0.728^{**}$
Pods per cluster	0.291*	$0.670^{**}$	0.511**	1	0.845**	0.038 <sup>NS</sup>	$0.254^{*}$	0.046 <sup>NS</sup>	- 0.177 <sup>NS</sup>	0.623**
Pods per plant	0.403**	0.767**	0.864**	0.845**	1	0.146 <sup>NS</sup>	0.208 <sup>NS</sup>	0.109 <sup>NS</sup>	- 0.019 <sup>NS</sup>	$0.776^{**}$
Pod length (cm)	0.553**	0.001 <sup>NS</sup>	0.211 <sup>NS</sup>	0.038 <sup>NS</sup>	0.146 <sup>NS</sup>	1	$0.380^{**}$	$0.485^{**}$	0.226 <sup>NS</sup>	0.473**
Seeds per pod	0.351**	0.225 <sup>NS</sup>	0.165 <sup>NS</sup>	$0.254^{*}$	0.208 <sup>NS</sup>	0.380**	1	$0.298^{*}$	0.029 <sup>NS</sup>	0.546**
100 Seed Weight (g)	$0.480^{**}$	0.019 <sup>NS</sup>	0.143 <sup>NS</sup>	$0.046^{NS}$	0.109 <sup>NS</sup>	0.485**	$0.298^{*}$	1	$0.254^{*}$	0.411**
Protein content	0.549**	-0.071 <sup>NS</sup>	0.077 <sup>NS</sup>	0.177 <sup>NS</sup>	-0.019 <sup>NS</sup>	0.226 <sup>NS</sup>	0.029 <sup>NS</sup>	0.254*	1	0.105 <sup>NS</sup>
Seed yield per plant (g)	$0.622^{**}$	$0.604^{**}$	$0.728^{**}$	0.623**	0.776**	0.473**	0.546**	0.411**	0.105 <sup>NS</sup>	1

\* Significant at p = 0.05, \*\* Significant at = 0.01 and NS = Non-Significant

Table 4: Correlation	coefficients between	different	characters in	$n M_3$	generation o	f urdbean at	genotypic leve	el.
					0			

	Plant height (cm)	Branches per plant	Clusters per plant	Pods per cluster	Pods per plant	Pod length (cm)	Seeds per pod	100 Seed Weight (g)	Protein content	Seed yield per plant (g)
Plant height (cm)	1	0.143 <sup>NS</sup>	$0.246^{*}$	0.119 <sup>NS</sup>	0.171 <sup>NS</sup>	0.417**	0.239*	0.329**	0.453**	0.477**
Branches per plant	0.143 <sup>NS</sup>	1	0.635**	0.635**	0.754**	-0.022 <sup>NS</sup>	0.157 <sup>NS</sup>	0.037 <sup>NS</sup>	-0.104 <sup>NS</sup>	0.528**
Clusters per plant	$0.246^{*}$	0.635**	1	0.567**	$0.880^{**}$	0.179 <sup>NS</sup>	0.158 <sup>NS</sup>	0.039 <sup>NS</sup>	0.075 <sup>NS</sup>	0.731**
Pods per cluster	0.119 <sup>NS</sup>	0.635**	$0.567^{**}$	1	$0.858^{**}$	0.057 <sup>NS</sup>	0.192 <sup>NS</sup>	0.022 <sup>NS</sup>	-0.161 <sup>NS</sup>	$0.622^{**}$
Pods per plant	0.171 <sup>NS</sup>	0.754**	$0.880^{**}$	$0.858^{**}$	1	0.101 <sup>NS</sup>	0.149 <sup>NS</sup>	0.018 <sup>NS</sup>	-0.045 <sup>NS</sup>	$0.740^{**}$
Pod length (cm)	0.417**	-0.022 <sup>NS</sup>	0.179 <sup>NS</sup>	$0.057^{NS}$	0.101 <sup>NS</sup>	1	$0.398^{**}$	0.343**	0.211 <sup>NS</sup>	0.492**
Seeds per pod	$0.239^{*}$	0.157 <sup>NS</sup>	0.158 <sup>NS</sup>	0.192 <sup>NS</sup>	0.149 <sup>NS</sup>	0.398**	1	$0.273^{*}$	0.063 <sup>NS</sup>	0.533**
100 Seed Weight (g)	0.329**	0.037 <sup>NS</sup>	0.039 <sup>NS</sup>	0.022 <sup>NS</sup>	0.018 <sup>NS</sup>	0.343**	$0.273^{*}$	1	0.183 <sup>NS</sup>	0.312**
Protein content	0.453**	-0.104 <sup>NS</sup>	0.075 <sup>NS</sup>	-0.161 <sup>NS</sup>	-0.045 <sup>NS</sup>	0.211 <sup>NS</sup>	0.063 <sup>NS</sup>	0.183 <sup>NS</sup>	1	$0.162^{NS}$
Seed yield per plant (g)	0.477**	0.528**	0.731**	0.622**	0.740**	0.492**	0.533**	0.312**	0.162 <sup>NS</sup>	1

\* Significant at p = 0.05, \*\* Significant at = 0.01 and NS = Non-Significant

## CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE SCOPE

Physical mutagens may effectively be used to induce desirable mutants for improvement of yield potential in urdbean. Variable frequencies of mutagen (200 Gy, 400 Gy and 600 Gy) and such frequencies were more in PU 1 as compared to CO 6 indicating diverse genetic base and higher sensitivity of PU 1 to gamma irradiation. 200 & 400 Gy dose was found to be the most effective in parent variety PU 1 whereas in case of CO 6, 600 Gy dose was the most effective. After finding out the results it was concluded that  $M_3$  progenies showing best yielding ability were  $T_2$ -19,  $T_1$ -6,  $T_1$ -1,  $T_2$ -14 and  $T_6$ -67 indicates lower concentrations (200 Gy & 400 Gy) of mutagen were prominent, therefore further breeding may concentrate on these mutagen doses.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest exist for the present research paper

Chaturvedi et al.,

Acknowledgment: The authors are thankful to Nuclear Agriculture and Bio Technology Division. Bhabha Atomic Research Centre Trombay, Mumbai for their help in mutagen treatment

# REFERENCES

- Aftab, N., Lal, G. M., Sheera, A., Bose, N. C. and. Tripathi, A. M. (2018). Evaluation of genetic variability in Black gram (Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper) germpalsm. Journal of Plant Development Sciences, 10(8): 445-452.
- Ankur, N. T., Nair, S. K., Nanda, H. C. and Sopan, Z. S. (2019). Genetic variability studies on yield and yield contributing traits in blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, 8(6): 1713-1716.
- Asari, T., Patel, B. N., Patel, R., Patil, G. B. and Solanki, C. (2019). Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis of yield and yield contributing characters in mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 7(4): 383-387.
- Burton, J. W. (1952). Quantitative inheritance in grasses. Proceeding of *International 6<sup>th</sup> Grassland Congress*, 1: 277-283.
- Deeplakshmi, A. and Kumar, C. R. A. (2004). Creation of genetic variability for yield and its contributing traits in blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper) through induced mutagenesis. *Legume Research*, 27(3): 188-192.
- Dewanjee, S. and Sarkar, K. K. (2018). Evaluation of performance of induced mutants in mungbean (*Vigna* radiata (L.) Wilczek). Legume Reasearch, 41: 213-217.
- Federer, W. T. (1956). Augmented designs. Hawain planters record, Inc., New York. 20: 191-207.
- Gill, R. K., Kumar, A., Singh, I. and Tyagi, V. (2017). Assessment of induced genetic variability in blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). Journal of Food Legumes, 30(2): 31-34.
- Johnson, H. W., Robinson, H. F. and Comstock, R. E. (1955). Genotypic and phenotypic correlation in soybean and their implications in selection. *Agronomy Journal*, 47: 477-483.
- Khan, S. and Goyal, S. (2009). Improvement of mungbean varieties through induced mutations. *African Journal* of *Plant Science*, 3(8): 174-180.
- Kuralarasan, V., Vanniarajan, C., Kanchana, S., Veni, K. and Lavanya, S. A. (2017). Genetic divergence, heritability and genetic advance in mutant lines of urdbean [Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper]. Legume Reasearch: An International Journal, 3794: 1-4.
- Mahesha, H. S. and Lal, G. M. (2017). Studies on genetic diversity in blackgram (Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper) germplasm. International Journal of Advanced Biological Reasearch, 7(3): 426-434.
- Meshram, M. P., Ali, R. I., Patil, A.N. and Meena, S. (2013). Variability studies in M<sub>3</sub> generation in black gram. *The Bioscan Journal*, 8(4): 1357-1361.
- Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. (1985). Statistical methods for agricultural workers, I.C.A.R., New Delhi: 357p.
- Panwar, N. K., Swarup, I., Gour, L. and Jain, M. (2019). Assessment of genetic variation and divergence in black gram's genotypes on climatic condition of Madhya Pradesh. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 8(2): 986-991.

- Partap, B., Kumar, M., Kumar, V. and Kumar, A. (2019). Genetic variability and correlation studies of seed yield and its components in black gram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 8(3): 2035-2040.
- Pavan, K., Reddy, P. and Mehta, C. M. (2019). Estimation of variability through genetic parameters and identification of superior pure lines for yield attributing traits in green gram [Vigna radiata (L.)]. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, 3: 55-61.
- Priya, L., Pillai, M. A., Shoba, D., Kumari, S. M. P. and Aananthi, N. (2018). Genetic variability and correlation studies in black-gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 9(4): 1583-1587.
- Pronob, J. P., Roopa, L. J., Suresh, B. J., Yuvraj, Y. and Umakant, V. (2017). Induced genetic variability and divergence through physical and chemical mutagens in M<sub>3</sub> generation of greengram (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). *International Journal of Genetics*, 9(6): 284-286.
- Rajasekhar, D., Lal, S.S. and Lal, G.M. (2017). Character association and path analysis for seed yield and it's components in blackgram [Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper]. *Plant Archives*, 17(1): 467-471.
- Rao, M. K., Adinarayana, M., Patibanda, A. K and Madhumathi, T. (2021). Prevalence of Viral diseases of Urdbean in Guntur District of Andhra Pradesh. *Biological Forum – An International Journal 13*(1): 261-269.
- Ramakrishnan, C. K. D., Savithramma, D. L. and Vijayabharathi, A. (2018). Studies on genetic variability, correlation and path analysis for yield and yield related traits in greengram [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek]. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 7(3): 2753-2761.
- Ramchander, L., Shunmugavalli, N., Muthuswamy, A. and Rajesh, S. (2018). Frequency of viable mutants in  $M_2$ and  $M_3$  generation of black gram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper) through induced mutation. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7(04): 1996-1999.
- Ramya, B., Nallathambi, G. and Ram, S. G. (2014). The effect of mutagens on  $M_1$  population of black gram (*Vigno mungo* (L.) Hepper).*African Journal of Biotechnology*, 13(8): 951-956.
- Sathees, N., Shoba, D., Saravanan, S., Kumari, S. M. P. and Pillai, M. A. (2019). Studies on genetic variability, association and path coefficient analysis in blackgram (Vigna mungo L. Hepper). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 8(6): 1892-1899.
- Selvam, Y. A., Elangaimannan, R., Venkatesan, M., Karthikeyan, P. and Palaniraja, K. (2010). Chemically induced mutagenesis in Blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 1(4): 921-924.
- Senapati, N. and Misra, R. C. (2009). Relationship of induced variability gram (Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper). Agricultural Reasearch and Communication Centre, 32(1): 13-18.
- Senthamizhselvi, S., Muthuswamy, A. and Shunmugavalli, N. (2019). Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield components in blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 10(4): 1600-1605.

Chaturvedi et al.,

Biological Forum – An International Journal 13(4): 779-783(2021)

- Singh, A. K., Gautam, R. K., Singh, P. K., Kumar, K., Kumar, N., Swain, S. and Roy, S. D. (2014). Estimation of genetic variability and association analysis in the indigenous landraces of urdbean of Andaman islands. *International Journal of Plant Research*, 1: 113-122.
- Singh, R. K. and Chaudhary, B. D. (1985). Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis. *Kalyani Publication*, New Delhi.
- Singh, V. P., Singh, M. and Lal, J. P. (2000). Gamma rays and EMS induced genetic variability for quantitative traits in urdbean. *Indian Journal of Genetics*, 60: 89-96.
- Thamodharan, G., Geeta, S. and Ramalingam, A. (2017). Traits association and variability study in blackgram [Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper]. Agriculture Update, 12(4): 1019-1023.
- Usharani, K. S. and Kumar, C. R. A. (2016). Estimation of variability, heritability and genetic advance in mutant population of blackgram (Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper). SABRAO Journal of Breeding and Genetics, 48(3): 258-265.
- Wani, M. R. and Khan, S. (2006). Estimates of genetic variability in mutated populations and the scope of selection for yield attributes in (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). *Egyptian Journal of Biology*, 8: 1-6.
- Wani, M. R., Dar, A. R., Tak, A., Amin, I., Shah, N. H., Rehman, R., Baba, M. Y., Raina, A., Laskar, R., Kozgar, M. I. and Khan, S. (2017). Chemo-induced pod and seed mutants in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). SAARC Journal of Agriculture, 15(2): 57-67.

**How to cite this article:** Harshit Chaturvedi, H.; Punia, S.S.; Gothwal, D. K.; Kumari, V.; Shivran, A. C.; Mittal, G. K.; Singh, K.; Rajput, S.S. and Souframanien, J. (2021). Variability and Character Association in  $M_3$  generation of Urdbean [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, *13*(4): 779-783.